Express Mail No. ER074143284US A.F./1700 Attorney Docket No.: AM-2602.C1

NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Jeng H. Hwang et al.

§ §

GROUP ART UNIT: 1756

SERIAL NO.: 10/057,674

EXAMINER: N. Barreca

FILED: January 24, 2002

§

FOR: MASKING METHODS AND ETCHING

§ Attorney Docket No.:

SEQUENCES FOR PATTERNING ELECTRODES

AM-2602.C1

OF HIGH DENSITY RAM CAPACITORS

δ

Date: December 3, 2003

AMENDMENT "C" UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116 TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVED DEC 0 9 2003 TC 1700

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is applicants' Amendment "C" in response to the final Office Action mailed October 3, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response of January 3, 2004. This Amendment "C" is being submitted within two (2) months of the mailing date of the Final Rejection, to permit time for an Advisory Action.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.10

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as U.S. EXPRESS MAIL NO. ER074143284US in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: December 3, 2003

Shirley L. Church, Reg. No. 31,858

CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER <u>AMENDMENT</u>		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT <u>EXTRA</u>		RATE	ADDITIONAL <u>FEE</u>
TOTAL CLAIMS	28	minus	28	=	0	x	\$18.00	\$00.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	5	minus	5	=	0	x	\$86.00	\$00.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS			0				\$290.00	\$00.00
					TOTA	۱L	FEE DUE	\$00.00

Applicants do not believe that any fee is due in connection with the filing of this Amendment "C". However, if a fee is due, Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. <u>50-1512</u> of Shirley L. Church, Sunnyvale, California.

This transmittal letter is submitted in duplicate for accounting purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

Shirley L. Church
Shirley L. Church

Registration No. 31,858

Attorney for Applicants

Correspondence Address:

Patent Counsel

Applied Materials, Inc.

P.O. Box 450-A

Santa Clara, California 95052



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Jeng H. Hwang et al.

GROUP ART UNIT: 1756

SERIAL NO.: 10/057,674

EXAMINER: N. Barreca

FILED: January 24, 2002

8

8

FOR: MASKING METHODS AND ETCHING

SEQUENCES FOR PATTERNING ELECTRODES

OF HIGH DENSITY RAM CAPACITORS

Attorney Docket No.: AM-2602.C1

Date: December 3, 2003

AMENDMENT "C" UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116

Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVED DEC 0 9 2003 TC 1700

Sir:

This Amendment "C" is in response to the final Office Action mailed October 3, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response of January 3, 2004. This Amendment "C" is being submitted within two (2) months of the mailing date of the Final Rejection, to permit time for an Advisory Action.

Claims 1 - 28 are pending in the application.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.10

I hereby certify that this paper and any documents said to accompany this paper are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as U.S. EXPRESS MAIL NO. ER074143284US in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: December 3, 2003

Shirley L. Church, Reg. No.31,858

Claims 1, 10, 11, and 13 - 16 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,143,476, to Ye et al.

Claims 1, 10, 12 - 15, 17, and 22 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,515,984, to Yokoyama.

Claims 22, 23, and 25 - 28 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,004,882, to Kim et al.

Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al.

Claims 2 - 5 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., as applied to Claim 1, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,046,113, to Hong et al.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,456,675, to Anderson, Jr. et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,948,570, to Kornblit et al.

Claims 6, 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., as applied to Claim 1, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,591,671, to Kim et al.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., in view of Hong et al., as applied to Claims 2 or 4, and further in view of Kim et al.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., in view of Anderson, Jr. et al. and Kornblit et al., as applied to Claim 19, and further in view of Kim et al.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al., in view of Anderson, Jr. et al. and Kornblit et al., as applied to Claim 19, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,613,296, to Kurino et al.

Please amend the application as follows.